IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.265 OF 2005
IN
FAMILY COURT APPEAL STAMP NO.26914 OF 2005

Sunita Dinesh Motwani .. Applicant
V/s
Dinesh Daulatram Motwani & Anr. .. Respondents

Mr.A.M.Vernekar for the Applicant.
Mr.R.T.Lalwani for the Respondent No.1.

CORAM: DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN &
SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI, JJ.

DATE : 07.12.2005.

P.C.:

1. Rule. Rule is made returnable forthwith. Heard the learned Counsel for the Applicant and the learned Counsel for Respondent No.1. The learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks leave to delete the name of Respondent No.2. Leave granted.

2. Perused the Civil Application, especially Paragraph Nos.3, 4, 5 and 6. Also perused the affidavit in reply filed by Respondent No.1. For the reasons stated in the Civil Application, sufficient cause is made out for condonation of delay in filing the Appeal. Mr.Lalwani, the learned Counsel for the Respondent No.1 does not seriously dispute and oppose the condonation of delay. Hence, Civil Application is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

(DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN, J.)

(SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI, J.)